

**Institute of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology and Biotechnology,
University of Colombo**

Student Feedback Form – Teacher Evaluation

PLEASE FILL THE FOLLOWING DETAILS

Name of the Teacher:

Degree Programme: ☐ Master of Molecular Life Sciences
☐ Master of Cellular and Molecular Immunology
☐ Master of Bioinformatics
☐ Master of Science in Molecular Life Sciences
☐ Master of Science in Cellular and Molecular Immunology
☐ Master of Science in Bioinformatics

Semester: ☐ Semester 1 ☐ Semester 2 ☐ Semester 3

Instruction to respondents

Please read each statement carefully.

Reflect on the teaching sessions conducted by the teacher concerned.

For each statement, please use the following answer key and **circle the most suitable number**.

1. Strongly Disagree
2. Disagree
3. No opinion/ neither agree nor disagree
4. Agree
5. Strongly agree

Please note that the statements 23-29 are for Master of Science students only.

PLEASE ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS

Presentation/Delivery of Lecture						
1.	Delivered the content in an organized manner.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	Delivery of lectures was clear and audible.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	Teacher was capable in creating interest in the subject.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	Audio visual aids were used sufficiently and appropriately.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	Teacher adopted innovative teaching methods.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	Teacher adopted ICT-based learning tools effectively.	1	2	3	4	5

7.	Adequate information and resources were provided in LMS.	1	2	3	4	5
8.	Guided towards updated resources and e-resources.	1	2	3	4	5
Teacher student interaction in the classroom						
9.	Th teacher encouraged students to actively participate in the lecture and ask questions.	1	2	3	4	5
10.	Provided opportunity to inculcate soft skills in students.	1	2	3	4	5
11.	Engaged most students in activities.	1	2	3	4	5
12.	Easily approachable to resolve student problems outside teaching sessions.	1	2	3	4	5
13.	Motivated students towards self-learning.	1	2	3	4	5
14.	Sufficient time was allocated outside class hours to contact the teacher, to ask questions and obtain clarifications.	1	2	3	4	5
Knowledge, Skills and Attitudes of the Lecturer						
15.	Showed thorough knowledge in the subject	1	2	3	4	5
16.	Integrated variety of suitable teaching methods	1	2	3	4	5
17.	Is friendly and have good rapport with students	1	2	3	4	5
18.	Punctual and reliable	1	2	3	4	5
19.	A good role model to follow	1	2	3	4	5
Lecturer in Assessment						
20.	Provided timely feedback	1	2	3	4	5
21.	The feedback provided on learning material was adequate, via	1	2	3	4	5
	a) tutorials					
	b) assignments					
	c) class tests					
	d) practical reports					
	e) objective structured practical examination (OSPE)	1	2	3	4	5
22.	There were sufficient tutorials, problem sets, or other learning activities assigned to help me understand the material.	1	2	3	4	5

Overall rating of the teacher



Do you have any comments/ remarks? *(Limit your writing to the size of the text box.)*

The following statements (23-29) are for Master of Science students only.

Has the lecturer been a supervisor for your research project?

Yes

No

If yes, please answer the following questions.

23	The teacher/supervisor was supportive throughout the research project.	1	2	3	4	5
24	The teacher/supervisor was available whenever required to obtain guidance/advice.	1	2	3	4	5
25	All the objectives of the project could be fulfilled within the allocated time period.	1	2	3	4	5
26	There were no delay/shortage in reagents/chemicals and other requirements for the project	1	2	3	4	5
27	Research supervisors were with appropriate skills and subject knowledge, and sufficient time to support and encourage research students and to monitor their progress effectively.	1	2	3	4	5
28	Clearly defined guidelines on the role, responsibilities and conduct of postgraduate research supervisors, and ensures their compliance	1	2	3	4	5
29	The thesis and presentation was revised by the teacher/supervisor and the comments were received by you.	1	2	3	4	5

Do you have any comments/ remarks? *(Limit your writing to the size of the text box.)*

Date -